

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brct 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,039	10/31/2003	Juozas Vidas Grazulevicius	3216.32US01	1192	
24113	7590 02/18/2005	EXAMINER			
PATTERSC 4800 IDS CE	N, THUENTE, SKAA	DOTE. J	DOTE. JANIS L		
80 SOUTH 8			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-2100		1756		

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Lh Lh				
AL.				
ss				
ation, the (3) a the following				
s later. In no				
VITHIN TWO				
ion fee have ee under 37 set forth in (b) educe any				
e of Appeal ne Notice of otice of				
ause				
ne issues for				
TOL-324).				
nceling the				
nation of how				
be entered ecessary				
ll <u>not</u> be				

## Advisory Action

			-1/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,039	GRAZULEVICIUS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
i	Janis L. Dote	1756	

Potoro the Eiling of an Annoal Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Janis L. Dote	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  MENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>see the attachment, paragraph 1</u> . (See 3							
<ol> <li>☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
$S$ . $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) $\square$ . Newly proposed or amended claim(s) $26$ would be allowed		elv filed amendment	canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			-				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-22. Claim(s) objected to: 26.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. EQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  see the attachment, paragraph 2.							
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
3. Other:		Janus L Done	t				
	1	TO PRESIDENT AND THE SECOND SE	4				

GROUP 1700

Cohtinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 02152005

Art Unit: 1756

- 1. The proposed amendment filed on Feb. 2, 2005, to claim 23, adding the limitation that the group "Z comprises a julolidine group," raises new issues that would require further considerations and/or search, because the limitation was not present in the charge transport material claims when the final rejection was mailed on Jan. 10, 2005. Moreover, proposed new claim 27 file on Feb. 2, 2005, raises a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. New claim 27 is indefinite in the phrase "selected from the group consisting of a carbazole group, a julolidine group, or a p-(N,N-disubstituted) arylamine group" (emphasis added) for improper Markush language. Proper Markush language would be "R is selected from the group consisting of . . . and . . . " or "R is . . . or . . . " MPEP 2173.05(h). Applicants are using a combination of both phrases. Thus, it is not clear what is the scope of the claim.
- The examiner's refusal to enter the amendment filed on Feb. 2, 2005, after the final rejection, renders moot applicants' arguments regarding said amendment.